

Maurer-Cartan Equations and Black Hole Superpotentials in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ Supergravity

Sergio Ferrara^{◇,♠,‡}, Alessio Marrani[♡]
and Emanuele Orazi[♣]

◇ *Theory Division - CERN,
CH 1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland
sergio.ferrara@cern.ch*

♠ *INFN - LNF,
Via Enrico Fermi 40, I-00044 Frascati, Italy*

‡ *Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of California, Los Angeles, CA USA*

♡ *Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics
Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-4060, USA
marrani@lnf.infn.it*

♣ *Dipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Torino,
Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, I-10129 Turin, Italy
emanuele.orazi@polito.it*

Abstract

We retrieve the non-BPS extremal black hole superpotential of $\mathcal{N} = 8$, $d = 4$ supergravity by using the Maurer-Cartan equations of the symmetric space $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$. This superpotential was recently obtained with different 3- and 4-dimensional techniques. The present derivation is independent on the reduction to $d = 3$.

1 Introduction

Recently, much progress has been obtained in the description of BPS and non-BPS *extremal* black hole (BH) flows in $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ supergravities in $d = 4$ space-time dimensions [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] (see also Sect. 2 of [8]). In particular, for all theories whose non-linear scalar sigma model is a symmetric space¹, “*superpotentials*” W ’s exist for all BPS and non-BPS branches, thus yielding that the corresponding radial flow equations are of first order. Namely, the warp factor U of the extremal BH metric and the scalar field trajectories respectively read [1]:

$$\dot{U} = -e^U W; \quad (1.1)$$

$$\dot{\phi}^i = -2e^U g^{ij} \partial_j W, \quad (1.2)$$

where W is related to the effective BH potential

$$V_{BH} \equiv \frac{1}{2} Z_{AB} \bar{Z}^{AB} + Z_I \bar{Z}^I \quad (1.3)$$

through

$$V_{BH} = W^2 + 2g^{ij} \partial_i W \partial_j W = W^2 + 2g^{ij} \nabla_i W \nabla_j W. \quad (1.4)$$

Here Z^I denote the matter charges (absent *e.g.* in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity), and $Z_{AB} = -Z_{BA}$ is the central charge matrix, entering the supersymmetry algebra as follows:

$$\left\{ \mathcal{Q}_A^\alpha, \mathcal{Q}_B^\beta \right\} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} Z_{AB} (\phi_\infty, Q). \quad (1.5)$$

Moreover, Eq. (1.2) implies that attractor points

$$\dot{\phi}^i = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

correspond to critical points of W itself:

$$\partial_i W = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

For BPS BHs

$$W(\phi, Q) = |z_I|_{\max}(\phi, Q), \quad (1.8)$$

where Q is the symplectic charge vector, and $|z_I|_{\max}$ is the highest absolute value of the *skew-eigenvalues* z_I ’s of Z_{AB} . Furthermore, the *ADM mass* M_{ADM} [9] is related to W through (r denotes the radial coordinate throughout)

$$M_{ADM}^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} W^2. \quad (1.9)$$

¹Note that this is always the case for $\mathcal{N} \geq 3$, $d = 4$ theories.

The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy-area formula [10] exploits as follows:

$$\frac{S_{BH}(Q)}{\pi} = \frac{A_H}{4\pi} = \lim_{r \rightarrow r_H^+} W^2 = W^2|_{\partial W=0} = W^2(\phi_H(Q), Q), \quad (1.10)$$

where r_H and A_H respectively stand for the radius and the area of the event horizon of the considered extremal BH, and $\phi_H(Q)$ denotes the set of scalar fields at the horizon, stabilized in terms of the charges Q .

Explicit ways of constructing W has been given in [5, 6, 7] by using different methods, e.g. based on the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ *stu* model [5, 7] or on three-dimensional techniques [6]. All these exploit the fact, as generally proven in [4], that

$$W = W(i_n(\phi, Q)), \quad (1.11)$$

where $i_n(\phi, Q)$'s ($n = 1, \dots, 5$) are duality invariant combinations of the scalars ϕ^i 's and of charges Q [13, 5]. A polynomial in i_n 's gives the (unique) scalar-independent duality invariant $\mathcal{I}(Q)$ [11, 12, 13]. In the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case, it reads [13, 5]:

$$\mathcal{I} = (i_1 - i_2)^2 + 4i_4 - i_5. \quad (1.12)$$

It is worth remarking that in the considered framework the symplectic vector of charges Q must belong to a *non-degenerate* (*i.e.* with $\mathcal{I} \neq 0$) orbit of the U -duality group [14, 15, 16].

In particular, $\mathcal{I}$ is quartic² in charges Q for all rank-three $\mathcal{N} = 2$ symmetric spaces [17], as well as for $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity (see Eqs. (2.8)-(2.12) below). Moreover, since $\mathcal{N} \geq 3$, $d = 4$ supergravities all have symmetric scalar manifolds, they all admit W 's for their various scalar flows, *i.e.* for each different orbit of the charge vector [14, 15, 16].

For $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity, it follows that

$$W = W(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \varphi), \quad (1.13)$$

where ρ_I 's ($I = 0, 1, 2, 3$ throughout) are the absolute values of the skew-eigenvalues of Z_{AB} , whose $SU(8)$ -invariant phase is φ (see Eq. (2.1) below). In [20] the explicit expressions of ρ_I 's and φ were computed in terms of the four roots of a quartic algebraic equation, involving the quantities $(\text{Tr}(ZZ^\dagger))^{m+1}$ ($m = 0, 1, 2, 3$), as well as the quartic invariant $\mathcal{I}_4$ (see *e.g.* Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11) below, and also the treatment in [13]).

As shown in [21], two different branches of attractor scalar flows exist, namely the $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS and the non-BPS branches. Note that W exhibits the same flat directions of V_{BH} at its critical points; such flat directions span the moduli spaces $\frac{E_{6(2)}}{SU(6) \times SU(2)}$ ($\mathcal{I}_4 > 0$, see Eq. (2.21) below) and $\frac{E_{6(6)}}{USp(8)}$ ($\mathcal{I}_4 < 0$, see Eq. (2.26) below) [23].

²The quartic invariant $\mathcal{I}_4$ of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories was derived in [18, 19].

This paper is devoted to the derivation of the W 's for both these branches. This is done by exploiting the ($d = 4$) Maurer-Cartan equations of the exceptional coset $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}$ (see *e.g.* [24] and Refs. therein). We will show that, while W_{BPS} is given by the highest absolute value of the skew-eigenvalues of Z_{AB} (consistent with Eq. (1.8)), W_{nBPS} is (proportional to) the $USp(8)$ -singlet of the **28** of $SU(8)$. These results extend to the whole attractor scalar flow the expression of W which was known for both BPS and non-BPS attractor solutions after [21] (see also *e.g.* [25]). Our investigation and derivation is complementary to [6], where the expression of W_{nBPS} was obtained by making use of the nilpotent orbits of the $d = 3$ geodesic flow obtained through a timelike reduction (see *e.g.* [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33], and Refs. therein).

The paper is organized as follows.

In Sect. 2 we recall the $SU(6) \times SU(2)$ -covariant normal frame of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity, which we dub “*special*” normal frame, and we show that Maurer-Cartan Eqs. yield a partial differential equation (PDE) for W , whose simplest solution is the BPS superpotential W_{BPS} .

Sect. 3 is devoted to the analysis of the $USp(8)$ -covariant normal frame of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity (see *e.g.* the analysis of [34, 35], and Refs. therein), which we dub “*symplectic*” normal frame. We show that in such a normal frame the Maurer-Cartan Eqs. yield a PDE for W , whose simplest solution is the non-BPS superpotential W_{nBPS} . W 's are nothing but the singlets in the decomposition of the **28** of $SU(8)$ into the maximal compact subgroup of the stabilizer of the corresponding supporting charge orbit, *i.e.* respectively into $SU(6) \times SU(2)$ (BPS) and $USp(8)$ (non-BPS).

Derivations of some relevant formulæ are given in the Appendix, which concludes the paper.

2 *Special* Normal Frame

Following [36, 37, 38], through a suitable $SU(8)$ transformation the complex skew-symmetric central charge matrix Z_{AB} ($A, B = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N} = 8$ in the **8** of $\mathcal{R}$ -symmetry $SU(8)$) can be *skew-diagonalised*, and thus recast in *normal* form (see *e.g.* Eq. (87) of [2], adopting a different convention on the 2×2 symplectic metric ϵ ; $a = 1, 2, 3$ throughout; unwritten matrix components do vanish throughout):

$$Z_{AB} \xrightarrow{SU(8)} \begin{pmatrix} z_0 & & & \\ & z_1 & & \\ & & z_2 & \\ & & & z_3 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \epsilon = e^{i\frac{\varphi}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 & & & \\ & \rho_1 & & \\ & & \rho_2 & \\ & & & \rho_3 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \epsilon, \quad (2.1)$$

$\rho_0, \rho_a \in \mathbb{R}^+, \varphi \in [0, 8\pi),$

where

$$\epsilon \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

Notice that the second line of Eq. (2.1) can be obtained from the first one by performing a suitable $(U(1))^3$ transformation.

The general definition (1.3) of effective BH potential V_{BH} thus yields

$$V_{BH} = \rho_0^2 + \rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + \rho_3^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Therefore, in the normal frame defined by (2.1) the non-vanishing components of Z_{AB} reads as follows:

$$z_0 \equiv Z_{12} = \rho_0 e^{i\frac{\varphi}{4}}; \quad (2.4)$$

$$z_1 \equiv Z_{34} = \rho_1 e^{i\frac{\varphi}{4}}; \quad (2.5)$$

$$z_2 \equiv Z_{56} = \rho_2 e^{i\frac{\varphi}{4}}; \quad (2.6)$$

$$z_3 \equiv Z_{78} = \rho_3 e^{i\frac{\varphi}{4}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Within this parametrization, the (unique) quartic invariant $\mathcal{I}_4$ of the **56** of the U -duality group $E_{7(7)}$ (see *e.g.* [12, 13], and Refs. therein)

$$\mathcal{I}_4 \equiv \text{Tr} \left(Z Z^\dagger Z Z^\dagger \right) - \frac{1}{2^2} \text{Tr}^2 \left(Z Z^\dagger \right) + 2^3 \text{Re} [\text{Pfaff}(Z)]; \quad (2.8)$$

$$\text{Pfaff}(Z) \equiv \frac{1}{2^4 4!} \epsilon^{ABCDEFGH} Z_{AB} Z_{CD} Z_{EF} Z_{GH}, \quad (2.9)$$

reads as follows (see *e.g.* [39]):

$$\mathcal{I}_4 = \sum_I \rho_I^4 - 2 \sum_{I < J} \rho_I^2 \rho_J^2 + 8 \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 \cos \varphi = \quad (2.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\rho_0 + \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3) (\rho_0 + \rho_1 - \rho_2 - \rho_3) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot (\rho_0 - \rho_1 + \rho_2 - \rho_3) (\rho_0 - \rho_1 - \rho_2 + \rho_3) + \\ &\quad + 8 \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 (\cos \varphi - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The *Pfaffian* of Z_{AB} , defined by Eq. (2.9), simply reads

$$\text{Pfaff}(Z) = Z_{12} Z_{34} Z_{56} Z_{78} = e^{i\varphi} \prod_I \rho_I. \quad (2.12)$$

It is worth remarking that the skew-diagonal form of Z_{AB} given by Eq. (2.1) is “*democratic*”, in the sense that it fixes the phases of the four *skew-eigenvalues*

$$z_I \equiv \rho_I e^{i\varphi_I} \quad (2.13)$$

of Z_{AB} to be *all equal*:

$$\varphi_0 = \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = \varphi_3 \equiv \frac{\varphi}{4}. \quad (2.14)$$

Actually, this implies some loss of generality, because $SU(8)$ only constrains the phases of z_I 's as follows:

$$\varphi_0 + \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 + \varphi_3 \equiv \varphi. \quad (2.15)$$

Up to renamings, without loss of generality, the $|z_I|$'s can be ordered as follows:

$$\rho_0 \geq \rho_1 \geq \rho_2 \geq \rho_3. \quad (2.16)$$

Notice that ρ_I 's are $U(8)$ invariant, whereas the overall phase φ is invariant under $SU(8)$, but not under $U(8)$.

It turns out that the *special* skew-diagonalization (2.1) is particularly suitable for the treatment of the $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS ("*large*") attractor flow, as shown in the following Subsection.

2.1 Attractor Solutions

In the *special* normal frame (2.1), the two attractor solutions of $\mathcal{N} = 8$, $d = 4$ supergravity read as follows (see *e.g.* [21], [34], and Refs. therein; see also the analysis of [35] for further detail):

- $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS:

$$\rho_0 \equiv \rho_{BPS} \in \mathbb{R}_0^+; \quad (2.17)$$

$$\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho_3 = 0; \quad (2.18)$$

$$\varphi \text{ undetermined}, \quad (2.19)$$

thus yielding:

$$Z_{AB, \frac{1}{8}\text{-BPS}} = e^{i\frac{\varphi}{4}} \rho_{BPS} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \epsilon; \quad (2.20)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_4(Q_{BPS}) = \rho_{BPS}^4 (Q_{BPS}) > 0, \quad (2.21)$$

where [22]

$$Q_{BPS} \in \mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{8}\text{-BPS}, non-deg} = \frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_{6(2)}}, \quad (2.22)$$

with maximal compact symmetry $SU(6) \times SU(2)$.

- Non-BPS:

$$\rho_0 = \rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho_3 \equiv \rho_{nBPS} \in \mathbb{R}_0^+; \quad (2.23)$$

$$\varphi = \pi, \quad (2.24)$$

thus yielding:

$$Z_{AB,nBPS} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \rho_{nBPS} \Omega_{AB}; \quad (2.25)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_4(Q_{nBPS}) = -2^4 \rho_{nBPS}^4 (Q_{nBPS}) < 0, \quad (2.26)$$

where

$$\Omega_{AB} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \epsilon \quad (2.27)$$

is the 8×8 metric of $USp(8)$, and [22]

$$Q_{nBPS} \in \mathcal{O}_{nBPS} = \frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_{6(6)}}, \quad (2.28)$$

with maximal compact symmetry $USp(8)$.

2.2 Maurer-Cartan Equations and PDE for W

Let us now consider the *Maurer-Cartan* Eqs. of $\mathcal{N} = 8$, $d = 4$ supergravity (see *e.g.* [24] and Refs. therein):

$$\nabla_i Z_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} P_{ABCD,i} \bar{Z}^{CD}, \quad (2.29)$$

where the *Vielbein* 1-form $P_{ABCD} = P_{ABCD,i} d\phi^i$ ($i = 1, \dots, 70$) of the real homogeneous symmetric scalar manifold

$$M_{\mathcal{N}=8,d=4} = \frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)} \quad (2.30)$$

sits in the 4-fold antisymmetric **70** of $SU(8)$, and it satisfies the *self-dual reality* condition (see *e.g.* [12])

$$P_{ABCD} = P_{[ABCD]} = \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{ABCDEFGH} \bar{P}^{EFGH}. \quad (2.31)$$

In order to simplify forthcoming calculations, it is convenient to group $SU(8)$ -indices as follows:

$$12 \rightarrow 0; 34 \rightarrow 1; 56 \rightarrow 2; 78 \rightarrow 3. \quad (2.32)$$

Thus, for a generic skew-diagonal Z_{AB} , *Maurer-Cartan* Eqs. (2.29) read

$$\nabla_i Z_0 = P_{01,i} \bar{Z}^1 + P_{02,i} \bar{Z}^2 + P_{03,i} \bar{Z}^3; \quad (2.33)$$

$$\nabla_i Z_1 = P_{01,i} \bar{Z}^0 + P_{12,i} \bar{Z}^2 + P_{13,i} \bar{Z}^3; \quad (2.34)$$

$$\nabla_i Z_2 = P_{02,i} \bar{Z}^0 + P_{12,i} \bar{Z}^1 + P_{23,i} \bar{Z}^3; \quad (2.35)$$

$$\nabla_i Z_3 = P_{03,i} \bar{Z}^0 + P_{13,i} \bar{Z}^1 + P_{23,i} \bar{Z}^2. \quad (2.36)$$

By disregarding the reality condition (2.31) of the *Vielbein* P_{ABCD} , within the considered *special* normal frame (2.1) one can determine the PDE for W in an easy way. Indeed, Eqs. (2.29) yield

$$\nabla_i \rho_I = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{i\varphi/4} \nabla_i \bar{Z}^I + e^{-i\varphi/4} \nabla_i Z_I \right); \quad (2.37)$$

$$\nabla_i \varphi = -2i \nabla_i \left(\ln Z_I - \ln \bar{Z}^I \right) = \frac{2}{\rho_I} \left(i e^{i\varphi/4} \nabla_i^I \bar{Z} - i e^{-i\varphi/4} \nabla_i Z_I \right). \quad (2.38)$$

Consequently, the total covariant differential of W generally reads (the sum is expanded in Eq. (A.7))

$$\nabla_i W = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I < J} \left\{ e^{i\varphi/2} (W_I \rho_J + W_J \rho_I) + e^{-i\varphi/2} \tilde{\epsilon}^{IJKL} (\bar{W}_K \rho_L + \bar{W}_L \rho_K) \right\} P_{IJ}, \quad (2.39)$$

where the quantity

$$W_I \equiv \frac{\partial W}{\partial \rho_I} + \frac{i}{\rho_I} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varphi} \quad (2.40)$$

was introduced.

By performing various steps (detailed in App. A, see Eqs. (A)-(A.6) therein) and recalling Eq. (1.4), the final PDE for the fake superpotential W reads:

$$\begin{aligned} W^2 + \sum_{I, J \neq I} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & |(W_I \rho_J + W_J \rho_I)|^2 + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{aligned} & e^{i\varphi} \tilde{\epsilon}^{IJKL} (W_I \rho_J + W_J \rho_I) (W_K \rho_L + W_L \rho_K) + \\ & + e^{-i\varphi} \tilde{\epsilon}^{IJKL} (\bar{W}_I \rho_J + \bar{W}_J \rho_I) (\bar{W}_K \rho_L + \bar{W}_L \rho_K) \end{aligned} \right] \end{aligned} \right\} = \\ = \rho_0^2 + \rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + \rho_3^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

where all terms of the sum can be found in Eq. (A.9).

As a consequence of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetry, Eq. (2.41) is fully symmetric in $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3\}$, and it is straightforward to check that any the ρ_I 's is a solution. Following [2], a natural *Ansatz* for $\mathcal{N} = 8$ solutions is a linear combination of the skew eigenvalues (with constant coefficients):

$$W = \sum_{I=0}^3 \alpha_I \rho_I. \quad (2.42)$$

In this way, the $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS solution reads ($a = 1, 2, 3$)

$$\alpha_0 = 1, \alpha_a = 0. \quad (2.43)$$

Due to the asymptotical meaning of W itself as ADM mass (see Eq. (1.9)), this corresponds to choosing

$$W_{\frac{1}{8}-BPS} = \rho_0, \quad (2.44)$$

namely the highest of the absolute values of the skew-eigenvalues of Z_{AB} as given by ordering (2.16), as the solution with the physical meaning of superpotential.

For non-BPS attractor flow, *Ansatz* (2.42) produces [2]

$$W_{nBPS} = \frac{1}{2} (\rho_0 + \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3), \quad (2.45)$$

which however is not the most general one. Indeed, W_{nBPS} given by Eq. (2.45) is a solution *iff* the phase is fixed as

$$\varphi = \pi, \quad (2.46)$$

thus not describing the most general flow with all five parameters, but rather a particular case with *double-extremal* phase (see Sect. 3).

Let us notice that the result (2.44) is an extension to the whole attractor flow (*i.e.* for all range of the radial coordinate $\tau \in (-\infty, 0]$) of the well-known fact that the solution of the $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS solution to the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ Attractor Eqs. is obtained by retaining the singlet in the decomposition of $SU(8)$ with respect to the stabilizer of the $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS *non-degenerate* charge orbit, namely [12, 21, 40, 25]:

$$E_{7(7)} \rightarrow SU(8) \rightarrow SU(6) \times SU(2) \times U(1);$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{56} \rightarrow \mathbf{28} + \overline{\mathbf{28}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{15}, \mathbf{1})_{+1} + (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{2})_{-1} + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-3} + \\ + (\overline{\mathbf{15}}, \mathbf{1})_{-1} + (\overline{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{2})_{+1} + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{+3}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

where the subscripts denote the charge with respect to $U(1)$. The corresponding extension to the whole $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS attractor flow amounts to stating that the superpotential governing the evolution is given by the “singlet sector” $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{+3} + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-3}$ in the decomposition (2.47). In the normal frame (2.1), by recalling Eqs. (2.4)-(2.7) and splitting the index of the $\mathbf{8}$ of $SU(8)$ as $A = \hat{a}, \tilde{a}$, with $\hat{a} = 1, 2$ and $\tilde{a} = 3, \dots, 8$ (consistently with (2.47)), it then follows that

$$W_{\frac{1}{8}-BPS} = |Z_{12}| = \rho_0. \quad (2.48)$$

3 *Symplectic* Normal Frame: Maurer-Cartan Equations and PDE for W

This Section is devoted to the derivation of the non-BPS “fake” superpotential uniquely from Maurer-Cartan Eqs., with suitable boundary horizon conditions.

We will obtain W_{nBPS} as a solution of the Maurer-Cartan Eqs. in a suitably defined manifestly $USp(8)$ -covariant normal frame [6], in which maximal compact symmetry $USp(8)$ of the non-BPS charge orbit $\frac{E_{7(7)}}{E_{6(6)}}$ [22] is fully manifest (see *e.g.* also the treatment of [25, 34, 35]). As it will be evident from subsequent treatment, such a normal frame is generally and intrinsically not “*democratic*” (in the meaning specified at the start of Sect. 2).

In order to derive the non-BPS “*fake*” superpotential from the geometric structure encoded in the Maurer-Cartan Eqs., we extend to the whole attractor flow the well-known fact that the non-BPS solution of the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ Attractor Eqs. is obtained by retaining the singlet in the decomposition of $SU(8)$ with respect to the stabilizer of the non-BPS charge orbit, namely [21, 40, 25]:

$$E_{7(7)} \rightarrow SU(8) \rightarrow USp(8);$$

$$\mathbf{56} \rightarrow \mathbf{28} + \overline{\mathbf{28}} \rightarrow \mathbf{27} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{27}' + \mathbf{1}', \quad (3.1)$$

where the priming distinguishes the various real irreps. of $USp(8)$, namely the rank-2 antisymmetric skew-traceless $\mathbf{27}^{(\prime)}$ and the related skew-trace $\mathbf{1}^{(\prime)}$. The corresponding extension to the non-BPS attractor flow amounts to stating that the superpotential governing the evolution is given by the “singlet sector” $\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}'$ in the decomposition (3.1).

The branching (3.1) corresponds to decomposing the skew-diagonal complex matrix Z_{AB} (within the generic normal frame given by the first line of Eq. (2.1)) into its skew-trace and its traceless part. This amounts to introducing the following quantities:

$$\begin{cases} z_0 \equiv b + c_1 + c_2 + c_3; \\ z_a \equiv b - c_a; \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} b = \frac{1}{4}(z_0 + \sum_a z_a); \\ c_a = \frac{1}{4}(z_0 + \sum_a z_a - 4z_a), \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

thus yielding

$$Z_{AB} = b \Omega_{AB} + \mathcal{T}_{0,AB}, \quad (3.3)$$

with b and $\mathcal{T}_0$ respectively being (half of) the skew-trace and the skew-traceless part of the skew-diagonal complex matrix Z_{AB} (within the generic

normal frame given by the first line of Eq. (2.1)):

$$b \equiv \frac{1}{8} Z_{AB} \Omega^{AB}; \quad (3.4)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{0,AB} \equiv Z_{AB} - \frac{1}{8} Z_{CD} \Omega^{CD} \Omega_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 + c_2 + c_3 & & & \\ & -c_1 & & \\ & & -c_2 & \\ & & & -c_3 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \epsilon, \quad (3.5)$$

where Ω_{AB} is the 8×8 metric of $USp(8)$ defined in (2.27).

Following the same steps as in Sect. 2, with details explained in App. A (see Eqs. (A.10)-(A.14) therein), after some straightforward algebra, one achieves the following result (recall $a = 1, 2, 3$ throughout):

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla W \nabla W = & \\ & = \frac{1}{8} \left\{ 4Re \left[\left(b \frac{\partial W}{\partial b} - \sum_a c_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_a} \right) + (c_2 + c_3) \left(-\frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} \right) \right] + \right. \\ & - 2iIm \left[(c_2 + c_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial b} - \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_a} \right) + 2b \left(-\frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} \right) + \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 2 \left(-c_1 \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} + c_2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} + c_3 \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} \right) \right] \right|^2 + \\ & + \left| 4Re \left[\left(b \frac{\partial W}{\partial b} - \sum_a c_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_a} \right) + (c_1 + c_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} \right) \right] + \right. \\ & - 2iIm \left[(c_1 + c_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial b} - \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_a} \right) + 2b \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} \right) + \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 2 \left(c_1 \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} - c_2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} + c_3 \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} \right) \right] \right|^2 + \\ & + \left| 4Re \left[\left(b \frac{\partial W}{\partial b} - \sum_a c_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_a} \right) + (c_1 + c_2) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} \right) \right] + \right. \\ & - 2iIm \left[(c_1 + c_2) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial b} - \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_a} \right) + 2b \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} \right) + \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 2 \left(c_1 \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} + c_2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} - c_3 \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} \right) \right] \right|^2 \left. \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

In order to proceed further, group theoretical arguments based on the reality of the **27** and **27'** of $USp(8)$ (see Eq. (3.1)) allow for the following

change of parametrization of the traceless part $\mathcal{T}_{0,AB}$ (see Eq. (3.3))

$$c_a \equiv \varrho_{27,a} \exp(-i\beta) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial c_a} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{c}_a} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\beta} & \frac{i}{\xi_a} e^{i\beta} \\ e^{-i\beta} & -\frac{i}{\xi_a} e^{-i\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho_{27,a}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.7)$$

where, with a slight abuse of language, ϱ_{27} 's generally denote the degrees of freedom pertaining to the traceless part $\mathcal{T}_{0,AB}$ of Z_{AB} (see Eq. (3.3), and reasoning made above). Moreover we split the skew-trace into its real and imaginary part

$$b \equiv x + iy, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.8)$$

The reasoning made at the start of the present Section (see Eqs. (3.1) and (3.3)) implies the non-BPS “fake” superpotential W_{nBPS} to be related to the skew-trace b .

We now proceed by formulating the *Ansatz* that b is independent on all ϱ_{27} 's introduced in Eq. (3.7). As we will see below, this corresponds to a natural *decoupling Ansatz*³ for the PDE (3.10) satisfied by W , which will yield to (the) simple(st) solution. This yields the vanishing of all the derivatives of W with respect to c_a 's. Thus, Eq. (3.6) reduces to

$$\nabla W \nabla W = \frac{1}{8} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &12 \left(x \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} \right) + \\ &+ \left[(\varrho_{27,1} + \varrho_{27,2})^2 + (\varrho_{27,1} + \varrho_{27,3})^2 + (\varrho_{27,2} + \varrho_{27,3})^2 \right] \cdot \\ &\cdot \left(\cos \beta \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} - \sin \beta \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \right)^2 \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (3.9)$$

so that the whole PDE for the W reads

$$W^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left\{ 12 \left(x \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \Delta_{27} \left(\cos \beta \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} - \sin \beta \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right\} = 4(x^2 + y^2) + \Delta_{27}, \quad (3.10)$$

where the quantity (symmetric in $\{\varrho_{27,1}, \varrho_{27,2}, \varrho_{27,3}\}$)

$$\Delta_{27} \equiv (\varrho_{27,1} + \varrho_{27,2})^2 + (\varrho_{27,1} + \varrho_{27,3})^2 + (\varrho_{27,2} + \varrho_{27,3})^2 \quad (3.11)$$

was introduced.

Eq. (3.10) is a non-linear PDE in the real functional variables x and y . The previous statement that b is independent on all ϱ_{27} 's yields that

$$x \neq x(\Delta_{27}); \quad y \neq y(\Delta_{27}). \quad (3.12)$$

³We should also note that this *Ansatz* holds for the particular solution (2.45), with $\beta = -\frac{\pi}{4} + 2k\pi$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) but $\partial W \neq 0$.

Under position (3.12), PDE (3.10) naturally *decouples* in the following system of PDEs:

$$W^2 + 3 \left(x \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} \right)^2 = 4 (x^2 + y^2); \quad (3.13)$$

$$\left(\cos \beta \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} - \sin \beta \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \right)^2 = 4. \quad (3.14)$$

PDE (3.13) admits the solution (symmetric in x and y)

$$W(x, y) = \left(x^{\frac{2}{3}} + y^{\frac{2}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (3.15)$$

which plugged into PDE (3.14) yields the following algebraic equation for x and y in terms of β :

$$\left(x^{2/3} + y^{2/3} \right) \left(x^{1/3} \cos \beta - y^{1/3} \sin \beta \right)^2 = x^{2/3} y^{2/3}. \quad (3.16)$$

Eq. (3.16) is in turn solved by (factor 2 introduced for later convenience)

$$x = -2\varrho \sin^3 \beta, \quad y = 2\varrho \cos^3 \beta, \quad (3.17)$$

where ϱ is a real strictly positive number:

$$\varrho \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (3.18)$$

In solution (3.17) ϱ is an arbitrary parameter, whose introduction is possible as a consequence of the homogeneity of degree 0 of algebraic Eq. (3.16) in x and y . In other words, ϱ can be understood as an integration constant whose meaning has to be clarified by imposing proper boundary conditions. This is the case for the requirement of positivity of ϱ which is an asymptotical boundary condition due to the physical meaning of W that defines the *ADM mass* M_{ADM} at radial infinity (see Eqs. (1.9) and (3.19)). Thus, Eqs. (3.15) and (3.17) yield that the final solution for W reads as follows:

$$W(x, y) = 2\varrho. \quad (3.19)$$

By recalling Eqs. (3.3)-(3.5) and (3.7), in the resulting manifestly $USp(8)$ -covariant normal frame the central charge matrix Z_{AB} can thus be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{AB} = & 2 \left(\cos^3 \beta + i \sin^3 \beta \right) i \varrho \Omega_{AB} + \\ & + \exp(-i\beta) \begin{pmatrix} \varrho_{27,1} + \varrho_{27,2} + \varrho_{27,3} & & & \\ & -\varrho_{27,1} & & \\ & & -\varrho_{27,2} & \\ & & & -\varrho_{27,3} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Eq. (3.20) determines a parametrization of the *symplectic* normal frame (3.3)-(3.5) which is “*minimal*”, because it contains only five parameters (see *e.g.* [39, 21], and Refs. therein) , namely $\{\beta, \varrho, \varrho_{27,1}, \varrho_{27,2}, \varrho_{27,3}\}$.

In order to consistently characterize solution (3.19) as the non-BPS “*fake*” superpotential, one can use the boundary condition at the horizon of non-BPS BH. To this end we notice that (see reasoning at the start of the present Sect.) at non-BPS critical points of $V_{BH, \mathcal{N}=8}$ we have

$$\varrho_{27,1} = \varrho_{27,2} = \varrho_{27,3} = 0 \quad (3.21)$$

so that the parametrization (3.20) reduces to

$$Z_{AB, nBPS} = 2 \left(\cos^3 \beta_{nBPS} + i \sin^3 \beta_{nBPS} \right) i \varrho_{nBPS} \Omega_{AB}. \quad (3.22)$$

This last equation has to be compared with Eq. (2.25), to get:

$$2 \left(\cos^3 \beta_{nBPS} + i \sin^3 \beta_{nBPS} \right) i \varrho_{nBPS} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \rho_{nBPS}, \quad (3.23)$$

whose splitting in real and imaginary parts respectively yields:

$$\sqrt{2} \left(\sin^3 \beta_{nBPS} - \cos^3 \beta_{nBPS} \right) \varrho_{nBPS} = \rho_{nBPS}; \quad (3.24)$$

$$\cos^3 \beta_{nBPS} + \sin^3 \beta_{nBPS} = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

The unique solution of the system (3.24)-(3.25) (consistent with Eq. (3.18)) is found to be

$$\beta_{nBPS} = -\frac{\pi}{4} + 2k\pi, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.26)$$

$$\varrho_{nBPS} = \rho_{nBPS}. \quad (3.27)$$

In particular Eq. (3.26) hints the following redefinition of the angular parameter:

$$\beta \equiv \alpha - \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad (3.28)$$

which yields the parametrization considered in [6] (up to an overall factor $\frac{1}{2}$, and with obvious renamings).

The non-BPS nature of the solution (3.19) implies the $\mathcal{I}_4$ of the **56** of $E_{7(7)}$ (given by Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11) in the *special* normal frame (2.1)) to be negative. To show this, we rewrite $\mathcal{I}_4$ in the manifestly $USp(8)$ -covariant parametrization (3.20), obtaining [6]

$$\mathcal{I}_4 = -2^4 \sin^2 2\beta \left(\varrho \sin 2\beta - \varrho_{27,1} - \varrho_{27,2} - \varrho_{27,3} \right) \prod_a \left(\varrho \sin 2\beta + \varrho_{27,a} \right), \quad (3.29)$$

which evaluated at the horizon of non-BPS BH reads:

$$\mathcal{I}_{4, nBPS} = -2^4 \varrho_{nBPS}^4 \sin^6 (2\beta_{nBPS}). \quad (3.30)$$

Using Eqs. (3.26) and (3.27), Eq. (3.30) implies

$$\mathcal{I}_{4,nBPS} = -2^4 \rho_{nBPS}^4 = -W_{nBPS}^4|_{nBPS} < 0, \quad (3.31)$$

which confirms the function W given by Eq. (3.19) to be the non-BPS “fake” superpotential of $\mathcal{N} = 8$, $d = 4$ supergravity:

$$W_{nBPS} = 2\varrho. \quad (3.32)$$

Thus, W_{nBPS} given by Eq. (3.32) has been proved to be the simplest solution of the PDE (3.10), determining the non-BPS “fake” superpotential of $\mathcal{N} = 8$, $d = 4$ supergravity. The proof given in the treatment performed above relies completely on the geometric data encoded into Maurer-Cartan Eqs. (with suitable consistent boundary horizon conditions), and it is alternative with respect to the treatment given in [6].

As the *special* normal frame (2.1) has been proved in Sect. 2 to be more suitable to derive (and describe) $\frac{1}{8}$ -BPS attractor flow, so the *symplectic* normal frame (3.20) has been proved in this Sect. to be more suitable to derive (and describe) non-BPS attractor flow.

The expression of ϱ in terms of the five parameters $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \varphi\}$ of the *special* normal frame (2.1) is not trivial, and it is thoroughly treated in App. B of [6]. In general, ϱ^2 turns out to satisfy an algebraic equation of order six with coefficients depending on $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \varphi\}$ and their (scalar-independent) combination $\mathcal{I}_4$, as given by Eq. (B.14) of [6] (see also the discussion in [7]).

Thus, in general ϱ^2 seems not to enjoy an analytical expression. However, (*at least* one of the) solutions of Eq. (B.14) of [6] is a solution of PDE (A.9), yielding W_{nBPS} in the *special* normal frame (2.1). Analogously, $W_{\frac{1}{8}-BPS}$ given by Eq. (2.44), suitably translated in the notation of the *symplectic* normal frame (3.20) (see treatment of App. B of [6]), is a solution of PDE (3.10), yielding $W_{\frac{1}{8}-BPS}$ in the *symplectic* normal frame (3.20). Furthermore, it is here worth mentioning that, through a suitable re-writing in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ language, the results of [5, 6, 7] are solutions of PDEs (A.9) *and/or* (3.10) (eventually through additional reductions to st^2 or t^3 models [5, 6, 7]).

Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by the ERC Advanced Grant no. 226455, “*Supersymmetry, Quantum Gravity and Gauge Fields*” (*SUPERFIELDS*).

We would like to thank B. L. Cerchiai and R. Kallosh for enlightening discussions.

S. F. and E. O. would like to thank the *Center for Theoretical Physics* (SITP) of the University of Stanford, CA USA, and A. M. would like to thank the Department of Physics and Astronomy, UCLA, CA USA, where part of this work was done, for kind hospitality and stimulating environment.

The work of S. F. has been supported in part by D.O.E. grant DE-FG03-91ER40662, Task C. The work of A. M. has been supported by an INFN visiting Theoretical Fellowship at SITP, Stanford University, Stanford, CA USA. The work of E. O. has been supported in part by PRIN Program 2007 of MIUR.

A Computational Details

In this Appendix we collect details of the computations determining the various formulæ of the present paper.

- Concerning Sect. 2, the details are listed below. Within the index reduction (2.32), the basic multiplication rules for the vielbein

$$P_{ABCD}\bar{P}^{EFGH} = \delta_{ABCD}^{EFGH} ; \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$P_{ABCD}P_{EFGH} = \epsilon_{ABCDEFGH} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

recast as

$$P_{IJ}\bar{P}^{KL} = \delta_I^K \delta_J^L; \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$P_{IJ}P_{KL} = \tilde{\epsilon}_{IJKL} \equiv |\epsilon_{IJKL}|. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Furthermore such rules and Eqs. (2.29) yield

$$\nabla Z_I \nabla Z_J = \tilde{\epsilon}_{IJKL} \bar{Z}^K \bar{Z}^L; \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\nabla Z_I \nabla \bar{Z}_J = \delta_J^I |Z_I| = \delta_J^I \rho_I. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Using (A.4) and the fully explicit form of Eq. (2.39) which reads

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i W = & \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[e^{i\varphi/2} (W_0 \rho_1 + W_1 \rho_0) + e^{-i\varphi/2} (\bar{W}_2 \rho_3 + \bar{W}_3 \rho_2) \right] P_{23} \right. \\ & + \left[e^{i\varphi/2} (W_0 \rho_2 + W_2 \rho_0) + e^{-i\varphi/2} (\bar{W}_1 \rho_3 + \bar{W}_3 \rho_1) \right] P_{13} \\ & + \left[e^{i\varphi/2} (W_0 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_0) + e^{-i\varphi/2} (\bar{W}_1 \rho_2 + \bar{W}_2 \rho_1) \right] P_{12} \\ & + \left[e^{i\varphi/2} (W_1 \rho_2 + W_2 \rho_1) + e^{-i\varphi/2} (\bar{W}_0 \rho_3 + \bar{W}_3 \rho_0) \right] P_{03} \\ & + \left[e^{i\varphi/2} (W_1 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_1) + e^{-i\varphi/2} (\bar{W}_0 \rho_2 + \bar{W}_2 \rho_0) \right] P_{02} \\ & \left. + \left[e^{i\varphi/2} (W_2 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_2) + e^{-i\varphi/2} (\bar{W}_0 \rho_1 + \bar{W}_1 \rho_0) \right] P_{01} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

it can be computed that

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{ij} \nabla_i W \nabla_j W = & \frac{1}{2} \{ | (W_0 \rho_1 + W_1 \rho_0) |^2 + | (W_0 \rho_2 + W_2 \rho_0) |^2 + | (W_0 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_0) |^2 + \\
& + | (W_1 \rho_2 + W_2 \rho_1) |^2 + | (W_1 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_1) |^2 + | (W_2 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_2) |^2 + \\
& + [e^{i\varphi} (W_0 \rho_1 + W_1 \rho_0) (W_2 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_2) + e^{-i\varphi} (\overline{W}_0 \rho_1 + \overline{W}_1 \rho_0) (\overline{W}_2 \rho_3 + \overline{W}_3 \rho_2)] + \\
& + [e^{i\varphi} (W_0 \rho_2 + W_2 \rho_0) (W_1 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_1) + e^{-i\varphi} (\overline{W}_0 \rho_2 + \overline{W}_2 \rho_0) (\overline{W}_1 \rho_3 + \overline{W}_3 \rho_1)] + \\
& + [e^{i\varphi} (W_0 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_0) (W_1 \rho_2 + W_2 \rho_1) + e^{-i\varphi} (\overline{W}_0 \rho_3 + \overline{W}_3 \rho_0) (\overline{W}_1 \rho_2 + \overline{W}_2 \rho_1)] \}, \\
& \text{(A.8)}
\end{aligned}$$

that, in turns, gives the following expanded form of PDE (2.41)

$$\begin{aligned}
& W^2 + \\
& + \{ | (W_0 \rho_1 + W_1 \rho_0) |^2 + | (W_0 \rho_2 + W_2 \rho_0) |^2 + | (W_0 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_0) |^2 + \\
& + | (W_1 \rho_2 + W_2 \rho_1) |^2 + | (W_1 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_1) |^2 + | (W_2 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_2) |^2 + \\
& + \left[\begin{array}{l} e^{i\varphi} (W_0 \rho_1 + W_1 \rho_0) (W_2 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_2) + \\ + e^{-i\varphi} (\overline{W}_0 \rho_1 + \overline{W}_1 \rho_0) (\overline{W}_2 \rho_3 + \overline{W}_3 \rho_2) \end{array} \right] + \\
& + \left[\begin{array}{l} e^{i\varphi} (W_0 \rho_2 + W_2 \rho_0) (W_1 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_1) + \\ + e^{-i\varphi} (\overline{W}_0 \rho_2 + \overline{W}_2 \rho_0) (\overline{W}_1 \rho_3 + \overline{W}_3 \rho_1) \end{array} \right] + \\
& + \left[\begin{array}{l} e^{i\varphi} (W_0 \rho_3 + W_3 \rho_0) (W_1 \rho_2 + W_2 \rho_1) + \\ + e^{-i\varphi} (\overline{W}_0 \rho_3 + \overline{W}_3 \rho_0) (\overline{W}_1 \rho_2 + \overline{W}_2 \rho_1) \end{array} \right] \} = \\
& = \rho_0^2 + \rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + \rho_3^2. \\
& \text{(A.9)}
\end{aligned}$$

- Concerning Sect. 3, the details are listed below.

Within parametrization (3.2)-(3.5), the Maurer-Cartan Eqs. (2.33)-

(2.36) read as follows:

$$\nabla b = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} P_{01} (2\bar{b} + \bar{c}_2 + \bar{c}_3) + P_{02} (2\bar{b} + \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_3) + \\ + P_{03} (2\bar{b} + \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_2) + P_{12} (2\bar{b} - \bar{c}_1 - \bar{c}_2) + \\ + P_{13} (2\bar{b} - \bar{c}_1 - \bar{c}_3) + P_{23} (2\bar{b} - \bar{c}_2 - \bar{c}_3) \end{bmatrix}; \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\nabla c_1 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} P_{01} (-2\bar{b} - 4\bar{c}_1 - 3\bar{c}_2 - 3\bar{c}_3) + P_{02} (2\bar{b} + \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_3) + \\ + P_{03} (2\bar{b} + \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_2) + P_{12} (-2\bar{b} - \bar{c}_1 + 3\bar{c}_2) + \\ + P_{13} (-2\bar{b} - \bar{c}_1 + 3\bar{c}_3) + P_{23} (2\bar{b} - \bar{c}_2 - \bar{c}_3) \end{bmatrix}; \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\nabla c_2 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} P_{01} (2\bar{b} + \bar{c}_2 + \bar{c}_3) + P_{02} (-2\bar{b} - 3\bar{c}_1 - 4\bar{c}_2 - 3\bar{c}_3) + \\ + P_{03} (2\bar{b} + \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_2) + P_{12} (-2\bar{b} + 3\bar{c}_1 - \bar{c}_2) + \\ + P_{13} (2\bar{b} - \bar{c}_1 - \bar{c}_3) + P_{23} (-2\bar{b} - \bar{c}_2 + 3\bar{c}_3) \end{bmatrix}; \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\nabla c_3 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} P_{01} (2\bar{b} + \bar{c}_2 + \bar{c}_3) + P_{02} (2\bar{b} + \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_3) + \\ + P_{03} (-2\bar{b} - 3\bar{c}_1 - 3\bar{c}_2 - 4\bar{c}_3) + P_{12} (2\bar{b} - \bar{c}_1 - \bar{c}_2) + \\ + P_{13} (-2\bar{b} + 3\bar{c}_1 - \bar{c}_3) + P_{23} (-2\bar{b} + 3\bar{c}_2 - \bar{c}_3) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Then, by following the same steps as in Sect. 2, after some algebra,

one achieves the following result (recall $a = 1, 2, 3$ throughout):

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla W = & \\
= \frac{1}{4} & \left\{ P_{01} \left[\begin{aligned} & (2\bar{b} + \bar{c}_2 + \bar{c}_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial b} + \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_a} \right) + \\ & + (2b - c_2 - c_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{b}} + \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{c}_a} \right) + \\ & - 4 \left((b - c_2) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} + (b - c_3) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} \right) + \\ & - 4 \left(\bar{b} + \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_2 + \bar{c}_3 \right) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} \end{aligned} \right] + \right. \\
& + P_{02} \left[\begin{aligned} & (2\bar{b} + \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial b} + \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_a} \right) + \\ & + (2b - c_1 - c_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{b}} + \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{c}_a} \right) + \\ & - 4 \left((b - c_1) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} + (b - c_3) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} \right) + \\ & - 4 \left(\bar{b} + \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_2 + \bar{c}_3 \right) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} \end{aligned} \right] + \\
& + P_{03} \left[\begin{aligned} & (2\bar{b} + \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_2) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial b} + \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_a} \right) + \\ & + (2b - c_1 - c_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{b}} + \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{c}_a} \right) + \\ & - 4 \left((b - c_1) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} + (b - c_2) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} \right) + \\ & - 4 \left(\bar{b} + \bar{c}_1 + \bar{c}_2 + \bar{c}_3 \right) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} \end{aligned} \right] + \\
& + P_{12} \left[\begin{aligned} & (2\bar{b} - \bar{c}_1 - \bar{c}_2) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial b} + \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_a} \right) + \\ & + (2b + c_1 + c_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{b}} + \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{c}_a} \right) + \\ & - 4 \left((\bar{b} - \bar{c}_1) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} + (\bar{b} - \bar{c}_2) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} \right) + \\ & - 4 (b + c_1 + c_2 + c_3) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} \end{aligned} \right] + \\
& + P_{13} \left[\begin{aligned} & (2\bar{b} - \bar{c}_1 - \bar{c}_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial b} + \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_a} \right) + \\ & + (2b + c_1 + c_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{b}} + \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{c}_a} \right) + \\ & - 4 \left((\bar{b} - \bar{c}_1) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} + (\bar{b} - \bar{c}_3) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} \right) + \\ & - 4 (b + c_1 + c_2 + c_3) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} \end{aligned} \right] + \\
& + P_{23} \left[\begin{aligned} & (2\bar{b} - \bar{c}_2 - \bar{c}_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial b} + \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_a} \right) + \\ & + (2b + c_2 + c_3) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{b}} + \sum_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{c}_a} \right) + \\ & - 4 \left((\bar{b} - \bar{c}_2) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_3} + (\bar{b} - \bar{c}_3) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_2} \right) + \\ & - 4 (b + c_1 + c_2 + c_3) \frac{\partial W}{\partial c_1} \end{aligned} \right] \left. \right\}. \tag{A.14}
\end{aligned}$$

It is worth noticing that the coefficient of the vielbein P_{IJ} (recall

$I = 0, 1, 2, 3$ throughout) is the complex conjugate of the coefficient of P_{KL} , with $K, L \neq I, J$. In other words, in order to compute the term $\nabla W \nabla W$ one has just to sum up the squares of the real and imaginary part of each coefficient, thus obtaining Eq. (3.6).

References

- [1] A. Ceresole and G. Dall’Agata, *Flow Equations for Non-BPS Extremal Black Holes*, JHEP **0703**, 110 (2007), [hep-th/0702088](#).
- [2] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, E. Orazi and M. Trigiante, *First order description of black holes in moduli space*, JHEP **0711**, 032 (2007), [arXiv:0706.0712 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [3] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani and A. Yeranyan, *stu Black Holes Unveiled*, Entropy Vol. 10(4), 507 (2008), [arXiv:0807.3503 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [4] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, E. Orazi and M. Trigiante, *First Order Description of $D = 4$ static Black Holes and the Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, [arXiv:0905.3938 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [5] A. Ceresole, G. Dall’Agata, S. Ferrara and A. Yeranyan, *First order flows for $\mathcal{N}=2$ extremal black holes and duality invariants*, [arXiv:0908.1110 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [6] G. Bossard, Y. Michel and B. Pioline, *Extremal black holes, nilpotent orbits and the true fake superpotential*, [arXiv:0908.1742 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] A. Ceresole, G. Dall’Agata, S. Ferrara and A. Yeranyan, *Universality of the superpotential for $d = 4$ extremal black holes*, [arXiv:0910.2697 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] S. Ferrara, A. Gnechchi and A. Marrani, *$d = 4$ Attractors, Effective Horizon Radius and Fake Supergravity*, Phys. Rev. **D78**, 065003 (2008), [arXiv:0806.3196](#).
- [9] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner: *Canonical Variables for General Relativity*, Phys. Rev. **117**, 1595 (1960).
- [10] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. **D7**, 2333 (1973). S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. Lett. **26**, 1344 (1971); in C. DeWitt, B. S. DeWitt, *Black Holes (Les Houches 1972)* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1973). S. W. Hawking, Nature **248**, 30 (1974). S. W. Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975).
- [11] R. Kallosh and B. Kol, *$E_{7(7)}$ Symmetric Area of the Black Hole Horizon*, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 5344 (1996), [hep-th/9602014](#).

- [12] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria and S. Ferrara, *U invariants, black hole entropy and fixed scalars*, Phys. Lett. **B403**, 12 (1997), [hep-th/9703156](#).
- [13] B. L. Cerchiai, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani and B. Zumino, *Duality, Entropy and ADM Mass in Supergravity*, Phys. Rev. **D79**, 125010 (2009), [arXiv:0902.3973 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [14] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara, M. Günaydin and A. Marrani, *Charge Orbits of Symmetric Special Geometries and Attractors*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A21**, 5043 (2006), [hep-th/0606209](#).
- [15] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh and A. Marrani, *Extremal Black Hole and Flux Vacua Attractors*, Lect. Notes Phys. **755**, 115 (2008), [arXiv:0711.4547 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [16] S. Bellucci, S. Ferrara, M. Günaydin and A. Marrani, *SAM Lectures on Extremal Black Holes in $d = 4$ Extended Supergravity*, [arXiv:0905.3739 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] M. Günaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, *The Geometry of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Maxwell-Einstein Supergravity and Jordan Algebras*, Nucl. Phys. **B242**, 244 (1984).
- [18] M. Cvetič and D. Youm, *Dyonic BPS Saturated Black Holes of Heterotic String on a Six-Torus*, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 584 (1996), [hep-th/9507090](#).
- [19] M. Cvetič and A. A. Tseytlin, *Solitonic Strings and BPS Saturated Dyonic Black Holes*, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 5619 (1996); Erratum - *ibid.* **D55**, 3907 (1997), [hep-th/9512031](#).
- [20] R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and M. A. Lledó, *On Central Charges and Hamiltonians for 0-brane dynamics*, Phys. Rev. **D60**, 084007 (1999), [hep-th/9903089](#).
- [21] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, *On $\mathcal{N} = 8$ attractors*, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 125005 (2006), [hep-th/0603247](#).
- [22] S. Ferrara and M. Günaydin, *Orbits of Exceptional Groups, Duality and BPS States in String Theory*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A13**, 2075 (1998), [hep-th/9708025](#).
- [23] S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, *On the Moduli Space of non-BPS Attractors for $N=2$ Symmetric Manifolds*, Phys. Lett. **B652**, 111 (2007), [arXiv:0706.1667 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria and S. Ferrara, *U-Duality and Central Charges in Various Dimensions Revisited*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A13** 431 (1998), [hep-th/9612105](#).

- [25] S. Ferrara and A. Marrani, *$\mathcal{N}=8$ non-BPS Attractors, Fixed Scalars and Magic Supergravities*, Nucl. Phys. **B788**, 63 (2008), [arXiv:0705.3866 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] M. Günaydin, A. Neitzke, B. Pioline and A. Waldron, *BPS black holes, quantum attractor flows and automorphic forms*, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 084019 (2006), [hep-th/0512296](#).
- [27] M. Günaydin, A. Neitzke, B. Pioline and A. Waldron, *Quantum Attractor Flows*, JHEP **0709**, 056 (2007), [arXiv:0707.0267 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] D. Gaiotto, W. Li and M. Padi, *Non-Supersymmetric Attractor Flow in Symmetric Spaces*, JHEP **0712**, 093 (2007), [arXiv:0710.1638 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] E. Bergshoeff, W. Chemissany, A. Ploegh, M. Trigiante and T. Van Riet, *Generating Geodesic Flows and Supergravity Solutions*, Nucl. Phys. **B812**, 343 (2009), [arXiv:0806.2310 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] G. Bossard, H. Nicolai and K. S. Stelle, *Universal BPS structure of stationary supergravity solutions*, JHEP **0907**, 003 (2009), [arXiv:0902.4438 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] W. Chemissany, J. Rosseel, M. Trigiante and T. Van Riet, *The full integration of black hole solutions to symmetric supergravity theories*, [arXiv:0903.2777 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] P. Fré and A. S. Sorin, *The Integration Algorithm for Nilpotent Orbits of G/H^* Lax systems: for Extremal Black Holes*, [arXiv:0903.3771 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] W. Chemissany, P. Fré and A. S. Sorin, *The Integration Algorithm of Lax equation for both Generic Lax matrices and Generic Initial Conditions*, [arXiv:0904.0801 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [34] A. Ceresole, S. Ferrara, A. Gnechchi and A. Marrani, *More on $\mathcal{N}=8$ Attractors*, Phys. Rev. **D80**, 045020 (2009), [arXiv:0904.4506 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] A. Ceresole, S. Ferrara and A. Gnechchi, *$5d/4d$ U-dualities and $\mathcal{N}=8$ black holes*, [arXiv:0908.1069 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [36] C. Bloch and A. Messiah, Nucl. Phys. **39**, 95 (1962).
- [37] B. Zumino, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 1055 (1962).
- [38] S. Ferrara, C. A. Savoy and B. Zumino, *General Massive Multiplets in Extended Supersymmetry*, Phys. Lett. **B100**, 393 (1981).

- [39] S. Ferrara, J. M. Maldacena, *Branes, central charges and U-duality invariant BPS conditions*, Class. Quant. Grav. **15**, 749 (1998), [hep-th/9706097](#).
- [40] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara and M. Trigiante, *Extremal Black Holes in Supergravity*, Lect. Notes Phys. **737**, 661 (2008), [hep-th/0611345](#).